

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/556,936	07/19/2006	Kristian Knak Nygaard	U 016025-8	5575
LADAS & PA	140 7590 05/29/2008 LADAS & PARRY LLP		EXAMINER	
26 WEST 61ST STREET			STRONCZER, RYAN S	
NEW YORK, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/556,936 NYGAARD ET AL. Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Rvan Stronczer -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 July 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 75-155 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 75-155 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure-Statement(e) (PTO/SE/DB)
5] Notice of Information Disclosure-Statement(e) (PTO/SE/DB)
5] Notice of Information Patent At Ilication
Paper No(s) Mail Date
6) Other:

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/556,936

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to

Group I, claim(s) 75-80, 82-86, 95-117,126-133, 138-141, 150, and 152-154 drawn to a method and associated system and apparatus for selecting a section from a streamed broadcast.

Group II, claim(s) 81, drawn to finding and transmitting a replacement section.

Group III, claim(s) 87-94, drawn to editing the representation of the section.

Group IV, claim(s) 118-121, drawn to inviting the user to select a desired section.

Group V, claim(s) 122-125, drawn to selecting a start and stop sub-section from among the plurality of sub-sections.

Group VI, claim(s) 134-137, drawn to finding a first and second preferred sub-section.

Group VII, claim(s) 142-147, 151, and 155, drawn to a method and apparatus for preparing a streamed program.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups II-VI recite functionality not present in Group I which represent different inventive concepts than that of Group I and would represent an additional search burden. Group VII is drawn to a different inventive concept entirely—preparing a streamed program—than groups I-VI, all of which generally relate to actions taken once a streamed program has been received

Application/Control Number: 10/556,936

Art Unit: 2623

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Sp. 1: Fig. 1A-D and Sp. 2: Fig. 2A-B

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. See MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: Group I.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The invention of species 1 involves interaction between the user and a set top box, which is not present at all in the invention of species 2.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the Application/Control Number: 10/556,936

Art Unit: 2623

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Stronczer whose telephone number is (571) 270-3756. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM - 5:00 PM (EDT), Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian T. Pendleton can be reached on (571) 272-7527. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/556,936 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ryan Stronczer/ Examiner, Art Unit 2623

/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623